THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.SESHASAYANA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 25382 of 2005

01-02-2006

Between:

V. Pranav Kumar, S/o. Late V. Prahalad Singh,
R/o. 3-1-637, Nimboli Adda, Kachiguda, Hyderabd.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The Commissioner Land Reforms, Urban Land Ceiling, Hyderabad. And others.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.SESHASAYANA REDDY

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 25382 of 2005

O R D E R:

This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner, who came on record before the 1st respondent-Commissioner of Land Reforms, Urban Land Ceiling, Hyderabad, on his own application, assailing the action of the 1st respondent in not

considering and disposing of the three applications filed on 23-11-2005 against the 3rd respondent.

The 3rd respondent challenged the order passed by the 2nd respondent-Special Officer and Competent Authority, Urban Land Ceiling, Hyderabad, by filing an appeal before the 1st repondent. The petitioner filed an application and got himself impleaded as party respondents in the said appeal. Later on, he moved three applications, viz., (1) Petition under Section 151 CPC seeking to stay all further proceedings (2) petition under Order XIX Rule 2 read with Section 151 CPC to direct the respondent NO.3 to subject him for cross-examination, (3) petition under Section 195 and 340 Cr.P.C., to conduct an enquiry with regard to fraud played by the respondent No.3.

Heard the learned counsel appearing for both the parties.

The grievance of the petitioner is that the appellate authority having entertained the above three applications is not proceeding with the enquiry and therefore, he approached this Court invoking extraordinary Jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

Learned counsel appearing for the 3rd respondent submitted that the application filed by the petitioner under Section 195 and 340 Cr.P.C. has to await the result of the main appeal.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to direct the appellate authority-1st respondent to dispose of the appeal as well as the three applications moved by the petitioner as expeditiously as possible with in a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of the order.

With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

01-02-2006

Note: CC by two days

B/o Mjl/*